

GARGRAVE PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of the Gargrave Neighbourhood Plan Working Group Wed 12 August 2015 Gargrave Village Hall

Those present: Chairman Edward Bartle, Chair of Parish Council Peter Ward, Pr Cllr Janet Turner, David Syms, Mike Palin, Michael Bland, Jane Drake, Rufus Drake, Anne Hargreaves, Ian Maxwell and Pr Cll Clerk – Kath Ashby.

1. To receive apologies for absence: None received.

2.To approve the Minutes of GNPWG meeting on 24 June 2015: The Minutes of the meeting held on the 24th June 2015 were accepted as a true record. Proposed by Edward Bartle and seconded by Cllr Peter Ward.

3.Matters arising from the Minutes of the 24 June 2015:

a) Edward Bartle advised the meeting that within Item 2 it was discussed at that time to maybe revisit the forms with two names on and whether these should count as two and not one. Cllr Janet Turner explained the situation to the meeting and confirmed that these forms represent two names and not one.

b) Chris Lloyd raised the point that there may be some disparity between the sites having supportive scores and none supportive scores. However a review of the way that the resident's feedback was assessed revealed the outcome would not be any different.

c) Rufus Drake raised the point that we were fortunate that we had for the moment enough sites available with a high difference between supported and opposed and these were strongly supported. However it would be useful to get the flood risk, which is to be applied after the sustainability score, robust for all sources of flooding and would need minor amendment to our emerging neighbourhood plan document. Flood risk at the moment would not need to be considered as we have enough good supported sites without flood risk.

d) Mike Palin made the point that we need to get this right for future proofing.

e) Mike Bland suggested that we put the points that need amending to Kirkwells.

f) Rufus Drake agreed to send a memo to Edward Bartle for him to then send onto Louis Kirkup of Kirkwells to consider as the meeting agreed they need to expand the wording to cover all flood risks.

Page 3, second paragraph - Trout Farm correspondence, Edward Bartle asked the meeting if a response had been sent to the owner answering his questions. The Clerk confirmed that a reply had been sent on 25 June 2015.

4. Feedback from Highways regarding proposed sites for development

Chris Lloyd raised some concerns regarding the detail of the Highways feedback and the detail querying whether it was correct. The group agreed that Chris Lloyd should write to Highways to confirm the methodology and the approach taken.

5. Final choice of proposed sites to be included in The Neighbourhood Plan

G2/10 It was agreed the boundary needs to be amended to exclude the verge area. This will affect the number of units that can be accommodated. The new numbers should be left for Louise Kirkup, our Consultant to determine.

G2/3 This is a well-supported site by the Village – urban infill.

G2/11 This site is well supported by the Village. It includes an historic mill. Concerns raised about the loss of low cost accommodation. It is existing not change of use. Brown field development and no requirements to be treated sequentially under NPFF rules.

A discussion on pre-emptive planning applications being submitted to CDC took place, because the Neighbourhood Plan was too slow. Edward Bartle mentioned that he was to seek a meeting with Stephen Brown in early September. Mike Palin suggested that the group took the opportunity to mention this issue and to remind CDC of the Pickles intervention last February in the case of the 280 houses in Buckinghamshire.

G2/6 This site has already been passed – planning permission for 29 houses.

G2/2 Brown field site owned by NYCC and would be suitable for 100% affordable housing.

G2/1 Brown field site, infill, well supported.

G2/9 Infill site and well supported.

G2/4 This site is not included because it is felt we have got to a reasonable number of sites at this moment in time. It is not as well supported by the Village as the sites selected.

G2/5 This site is not included because it is felt we have got to a reasonable number of sites at this moment in time. It is not as well supported by the Village as the sites selected.

G2/7 This site is not included because it is felt we have got to a reasonable number of sites at this moment in time. It is not as well supported by the Village as the sites selected.

G2/8 This site is not included because it is felt we have got to a reasonable number of sites at this moment in time. It is not as well supported by the Village as the sites selected.

6. Historical and archaeological information to be included in The Neighbourhood Plan.

Edward Bartle told the meeting that this is proving very difficult. Louise Kirkup suggested that the NYCC records would have lots of maps and information about the village. Because of this Edward Bartle, Chris Lloyd and Peter Ward travelled to Northallerton. They found lots of old maps of Gargrave House and the land owned. Edward Bartle told the meeting that we are therefore struggling at finding historical information at the moment.

7. Letter from Emily Grogan (Rural Housing Enabler for CDC) regarding sites, which can be developed in villages with 100% affordable housing.

Edward Bartle told the meeting that this is a letter from Emily Grogan who works for CDC, regarding the development of affordable housing. Edward Bartle read out the letter and afterwards suggested to the group that a meeting be arranged with her.

The group were all in favour of the Clerk responding to this letter to ask first of all the ratio, before we invite her to a meeting.

8. Letter from Bentham Town Council regarding starting their Neighbourhood Plan.

Edward Bartle told the meeting that Bentham Town Council are wondering if they can come and see us and hopefully we can give them some advice. Cllr Peter Ward told the meeting that he felt that they are more than welcome to come along to and meet with the group but that this should be outside of our normal meetings. Edward Bartle said he was happy to meet with them for a couple of hours and have a chat about it. Cllr Janet Turner suggested obtaining some dates from then. It was also suggested that they approach Kirkwells to obtain a free presentation from them.

9. Any other business. None.

10. Date of next meeting: The next meeting is scheduled for Wed 2 September 2015 at 7.30 in Committee Room 1.

There being no other business, the meeting closed at 10.25pm.

